L.F. 75-94.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
Lb. 2-98.
11-95.
12-95.
Sb. 1 of 160-95.

1896-7.
~~191~~.
(L.B.)

File No. 160-95.

Serial Nos. 2-5.

Later references.
Sb. 6-7 of 160-95.
Lb. 101-98.
" 4-99.
" 5-99.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2-5.

Pt. ~~Part~~ B for January 1897.

SUBJECT.

Purchase of Buildings for the use of Travellers Bungalows, Musafirkhanas and Inspection Lodges in the Kadlu Dt.

Purchase of an uninhabited building of one, Gulam Hassan Sab. for the use of a Musafirkhana at Sollebile.

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

40

[Gen. 31]

1896

OFFICE OF THE
1692
20 AUG. 1896
COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE

L BB
19.8-96

Section LB.
No 160-95.
Serial No 2.

From The Deputy Commr
Kaidur District

To The Secretary to Govt
General and Revenue depts

L360

Dated 17th
Despatched 18th August 96.
Received

General No. 112
No. } 1896-97.

Enclosure No. 2.

The District Fund Board of this Circle having resolved in their Proceedings dated 28th July last, that the pakka building now for sale at Sallebile may be purchased by the Board for the purpose of a Musafirkhana as the ~~[illegible]~~ now used as such is very miserable and uninhabitable, has the honor to request the sanction of Government for buying over the same.

2. Gulam Hussain Sab, the owner of the building, is willing to part with for Rs 1000/, which price the

Executive Engineer who knows the building considers cheap.

3. If the above proposal be approved, the cost, viz: Rs 10000/, will be met from the closing balance of Rs 15425/ in the current year's District Fund Budget, and the old Musaferkhana sold by public auction and the amount realised credited to District Funds.

4. Rough sketch of the new building in question and the extract from the Board Proceedings above referred to are herewith submitted for information.

for Deputy Commr
Hardoi District

Hl

40/a

Proceedings of the District Fund Board meeting convened on the 28th July 1896.

+ + + +

15 Proposed by the Amildar of Kopp Taluk that the pukka building now for sale at Sallebile may be purchased by the Board for Rs 1000/- for the purpose of a Musafirkhana since the present building used as such is a thatched one which is in very bad repair and uninhabitable during the rains.

Resolved that a building of this description at Sallebile is absolutely necessary and as the Executive Engineer knows the building and considers it cheap for the price offered, Government sanction be obtained to purchase the same.

"Extract"

[signature]

for Deputy Comr
Kaladgi



14-0
39
39
32
36-0
12-0
36-0
12-0
6-6
26-0
6-6

(41)

No 6215

Section 200.
File No 160-96.
Serial No 2. Read.

3048 9/9/96
2-7-75-94
RL 437

Docket dated 17th August 96

No 112 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District Soliciting Sanction for the purchase of the building constructed by one Gulam Hassan Sab at Sollebele for use as a Musafarkhana and suggesting that the present Musafarkhana, which has become uninhabitable may be sold in a public auction and the proceeds credited to District Funds

Order thereon.

Read the list of Bungalows and Musafarkhanas submitted by the Deputy Commissioner with his No 511 dated 4th April 1894 and make no reference to Musafarkhana at Sollebele through the District Fund Budget provides for the pay of a servant employed for its up-keep. The Deputy Commissioner is therefore requested to state when, at what cost and from what funds the present Musafar-khana

Sealed Orders
27-8-96

We have now a standard plan for Musafarkhanas of 4 classes. Under what class is the building proposed to be purchased to fall? Does it need any alterations or improvements & if so at what cost. We must be satisfied on these points before we sanction the purchase. Thereafter the draft. RR 27/8/96

at a cost of 1000 Rs

(1) Before sanctioning the proposed purchase of the building in question the Deputy Commissioner should be requested to report whether it is likely to be needed for Public purposes in the future and whether any alterations or improvements will be required. He should also state what cost. also whether the funds applicable for the purpose are likely to be available for the maintenance of the building. (2) He should also be requested to state to which of the classes of Musafarkhanas sanctioned in G.O. No 2750-94 dated 20/11/94 the building belongs and whether it is proposed to bring it under one of the classes mentioned in the Standard Plans lately prepared for 1896-97 Public No 820-13 for 1896-97

27/8/96

Read 1
re-submit
28/8/96
W/28/8

2-93

Mussafarkhana building, which is reported to be ~~uninhabitable~~ uninhabitable, was constructed, ~~from what funds it was during~~ ~~maintained~~ and why it was allowed to deteriorate, ~~of~~ ~~was not built at the cost of~~ the District funds, when and under ~~what authority or circumstances was it made over to the D.I. Board~~ for use as a Mussafarkhana.

(2) ~~The Deputy Commissioner~~ is further requested to cause an accurate sketch and detailed measurement drawn of the building proposed to be purchased, to be prepared and to submit the same at an early date.

For Secretary

To.

The Dy Comr. Kadur Dist

Subject to Sec's
Why not sanction the purchase right off, as it is stated the very necessary and the building is wanted. We cheap? No information about the old mussafirkhana may be called for in the order sanctioning the purchase

2/6/16

I request to see the Board proposal in the file. Read papers. The Dy Comr's connection. We need not [illegible]

I made my note on the 1st page

27/8/16

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

42

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
5320
42714

1896

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bader District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Dewan
General and Revenue depts

Dated 17th

Despatched 19th December

Received

Enclosures—No. 1

General No. 377 } 1896-97
No.

Government Proceedings
No 3048 dated 1st September
2375-94
1896, calling for a report whether
the new building proposed for
the Musafirkhana at Sallabile
needs any alteration and to
state from what funds and
at what cost the old Musa-
firkhana was constructed

With reference to the above
has the honour to state that the
Executive Engineer whose opinion
in the matter was invited in-
formo, on inspection that the
proposed building is not
susceptible of any alteration
or improvement without
incurring a heavy outlay
in order to bring it up to any
of the standard designs of
Musafirkhanas.

2. Since the Executive Engi-

neer

2/1/97

L B 1/2
21/12/96
As for G K S, general Sec
4/1/97
Submitted with refees.
calling the opinion of the D. F. Board
in regard to the construction of a new
musafirkhana be awaited. D.S. 5/1/97

Read cover and record 4/1/97

Section P.W.
No 168-95-97
Serial No 4

Serial No 41

neer in his No 219 of the 11th instant (copy accompanies), on good grounds prefers the construction of a pukka building for the purpose, the proposition of purchasing the private building in question may, in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion, now be dropped.

3. With regard to the old Musafirkhana, it is ascertained that it was built in 1880-81 at a cost of Rs 641/. This being a khatcha thatched building, from its cheap original construction the annual repairs done to it were of no avail.

4. The question of constructing a pukka Musafirkhana at Sallebile will however be considered at the next Local Fund Board.

(300)

Asst Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

From

The Executive Engineer

Hadur Division

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Hadur District

Dated 11th December 1896

No 219

Deputy Commissioner's No 210 of 5th September and 57 of 8th instant regarding the purchase of a private building at Sallebile for use as Musafirkhana.

~~~~~

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the private building proposed to be purchased cannot be altered so as to meet the requirements of a Musafirkhana without incurring a heavy outlay. It is a Katcha building requiring frequent future repairs.

2. The present Musafirkhana was built in 1880-81 at a cost of Rs 641/- It is a Katcha thatched building. The following sums were spent on its repairs during the 5 years ending with December 1894 and yet the Musafirkhana is reported to be uninhabitable. This is so, on account of the
~~~~~

cheap original construction.

3. In these circumstances the under signed would recommend the dismantling of the present Musafir-Khana and building a new pakka Musafirkhana on the same place according to the latest type design which has been sanctioned.

years	cost
1889-90	21
1890-91	77
1891-92	41
1892-93	57
1893-94	53

Hof D. Rangarao
Offg Ex. Engineer
Hadur Division

"copy"

Deputy Commr
Hadur Dist

43

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Section N.B.
File No 160-95.
Serial No 5.

Read:

Docket No 377 dated 17th December 1896 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, recommending ~~stating~~ with reference to Govt. Pro: No 3048 / 1775-94 dated 18th September 1896, that the proposal to purchase the building constructed by one Gulam Hassan Sab at Sollebile for use as a Musafir-Khana, may be dropped & that subsequently the question of construcing a pukka Musafirkhana at that place will be considered at the next meeting of the Local Fund Board.

Serial No. 61

RL 1901

7919 / 75-94 9/4/1/97

Order thereon

Recorded

In Secretary orig 6/1/97

To The D. C. Kadur Dt.